AFFIRMATIVE.—Messrs. Welch, Colston, Miller, McLane, Spencer, George, Fooks, Thomas, Shriver, Gaither, Sappington, McHenry, Magiaw, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Gwinn, Brent, of Balt. city, Presstman, Ware, Fiery, Michael Newcomer, Weber, Hollyday, Slicer, Fitzpatrick, Ege, Shower and Cockey—29.

NEGATIVE.—Messrs. Chapman, President, Morgan, Blakistone, Dent, Hopewell. Lee, Chambers, of Kent, Mitchell, Donaldson, Dorsey, Wells, Kent, Weems, Dalrymple, Bond, Sollers, Brent, of Charles, Merrick, Jenifer, Buchanan, Bell, Chandler, Ridgely, John Dennis, Dashiell, Williams, Goldsborough, Phelps, Bowie, Sprigg, McCubbin, McMaster, Hearn, Jacobs, Stewart, of Baltimore city, John Newcomer, Davis and Kilgour—38.

So the amendment as amended was rejected.

Mr. Spencer then moved to amend said 19th article, by adding at the end thereof the following:

"And to have all questions of law arising in the course of his trial explained by the court, before his defence shall be stated by his counsel;"

Mr. Ridgely, moved the previous question, that is:

"Shall the main question be now put?" and it was

Determined in the affirmative.

The question was then put on the adoption of the amendment. Mr. Spencer moved for the yeas and nays, and being ordered appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE—Messrs. Colston, Miller, McLane, Spencer, George, Shriver, Sappington, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Gwinn, Brent, of Baltimore city, John Newcomer, Weber, Hollyday, Slicer, Ege, Shower and Cockey—19.

NEGATIVE—Messrs. Chapman, Pres't, Morgan, Dent, Hopewell, Lee, Chambers of Kent, Donaldson, Dorsey, Wells, Weems, Dalrymple, Bond, Brent, of Charles, Merrick, Jenifer, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Kidgely, John Dennis, Dashiell, Williams, Hicks Goldsborough, Eccleston, Phelps, Sprigg, McCubbin, McMaster, Jacobs, Thomas, Gaither, Stewart of Balt. city, Presstman and Michael Newcomer—36.

So the amendment was rejected.

The said 19th article was then adopted.

The 20th article was then read and adopted.

The 21st article was then read.

Mr. Brent, of Baltimore city, moved to amend said 21st article, by adding at the end thereof the following:

"Nothing in this article of the Bill of Rights to apply to the free colored population of this State."

Mr. Merrick offered as a substitute for said amendment the following: